

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,823		09/12/2003	Ralph V. Clayman	BSC-067C2	7353
22903	7590	08/11/2004		EXAM	INER
COOLEY	GODWA	ARD LLP	WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE		
ATTN: PATENT GROUP 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE, SUITE 1700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE- RESTON TOWN CENTER				3763	
RESTON,	VA 2019	90-5061		DATE MAILED: 00/11/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/661,823	CLAYMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Catherine S. Williams	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		2					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 I	May 2004.	)					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	ance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		* * .					
4)  Claim(s) 41-70 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 41-70 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	£					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 25 U.S.C. & 1	(19(2)-(d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appointy documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/661,823

Art Unit: 3763

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 41-56, drawn to a medical device, classified in class 604, subclass 8.
- II. Claims 57-61,63-65, drawn to a method of manufacture, classified in class 264, subclass 176.1.
- III. Claims 62,66-70, drawn to method of using, classified in class 604, subclass 544.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another process such as an extrusion process.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used as a shunting device in other regions of the body including the eye and ear.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Application/Control Number: 10/661,823

Art Unit: 3763

inventions are not capable of being used together since one method teaches manufacturing the device that is used by the other method. Additionally, each method results in different effects that being one method results in a product and the other in a treatment to the human body.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Regarding the device of Group I, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

a) Figs. 1-3,

f) Figs. 5A-5B, 6C,

b) Figs. 4A-4B,

g) Figs. 5A-5B, 6D,

c) Figs. 5A-5B,

h) Figs. 7,7A,8,8A,8B, and

d) Figs. 5A-5B, 6A,

i) Fig. 9.

e) Figs. 5A-5B, 6B,

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,823

Art Unit: 3763

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/661,823 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 703-308-4846.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams Usw. July 29, 2004

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700